



THE INFAMOUS STRAW MAN © Authored by PAC info@pacinlaw.org

A Misapplication of Law

A story about the Straw Man

If you have been investigating the issue of freedom you have undoubtedly heard about a person or thing of which is referred to as a “Straw Man”.

The “Straw Man” is a “theory” that had been set-up by some ‘unknown’ that sets forth that there is this fictional person that resembles you in an alternative spelling of your name. Under this premise there have been many people who have been duped into using wrong and/or foreign law forms such as the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) to *capture* it. Such methods have been referred to as Redemption or explained as being a legal process in books such as *Cracking the Code*.

Well... we are here to tell you there is no such thing or person. . .

YOU are the so-called Straw Man!

One must understand that law consists of principles and doctrines; most of such have been derived from the actions of people of which includes express and implied (or tacit) contracts; not only private contracts with people, but the ones that exist with all levels of government; the outcome of such matters, in regard to government, establish the status of a man or woman. Keeping such important fact in mind, note the word “straw man” is being misapplied. In law, straw man is defined as the following:

- **straw man.** A “front;” a third party who is put up in name only to take part in a transaction. Nominal party to a transaction; one who acts as an agent for another for the purpose of taking title to real property and executing whatever documents and instruments the principal may direct respecting the property. Person who purchases property for another to conceal identity of real purchaser, or to accomplish some purpose not otherwise allowed.

Black's Law Dictionary, 6th

A “front” is defined by *American Heritage Dictionary* as the following:

- **front.** An apparently respectable person, group, or business used as a cover for secret or illegal activities.

Such definition ties in to the below definition:

- **straw man.** A person who is set up as cover or a front for a questionable enterprise. *American Heritage Dictionary*

Such common definition is further noted in *Ballentine's Law Dictionary, 3rd edition*:

- **straw man.** A dummy. An irresponsible bondsman or surety.¹ One made to appear as the owner of record who in fact holds title for another.

The word dummy exemplifies: Irresponsible. As utilized above, this word as defined by *American Heritage Dictionary*—in law—means: Not mentally and/or financially fit to assume responsibility. The term or word ‘irresponsible’ further encompasses the meaning of not the one truly responsible... in other words: a “front man” is not the one truly liable for any transactions, the principal party actually is. However, now applying the so-called *Straw Man Premise* in a situation of law, unlike an ‘actual’ straw man, in this case a man or woman is the “straw man” as there is no third party. And in a broad sense, he or she is the principal and the *so-called* “Straw Man”.

Conclusion: The *so-called* “Straw Man” is not an imaginary thing or person; it is a real man or woman that is responsible for his or her actions based on express and implied (or tacit) contracts; one of such contracts encompassing the “political status” of a man or woman; this is being a member of the political system under the 14th Amendment.²

If you do not believe the foregoing: Have you ever seen a so-called Straw Man in jail or have his property taken? So why is this “straw man” word/term being misapplied?

One has to understand:

- a) There are a plethora of incompetent people out there who profess this and that about law; and,
- b) There are a lot of “disinformation agents” out there that intentionally want to steer people away from the truth and proper legal applications.

Between these two things aforementioned, using the term “straw man” and its misapplied premise will just make one look incompetent to the government or legal profession. Accordingly people have been floundering in their freedom endeavors.

Interestingly, the craftiness of the ones guiding other people in the wrong approach may have incorporated the word straw man in a sneaky manner. In other words, in relation to the true meaning of the term, this espionage sets forth that a person using it:

- 1) Is incompetent;
- 2) As the 14th Amendment political system is a questionable enterprise, is acting as a quasi-third party as a 14th Amendment citizen, *i.e.* not in lawful status under the Law of God; and,
- 3) Has tacitly pledged himself as surety or bondsman responsible for the *so-called* “national debt.”

1 Surety is the act of one (bondsman) who: A pledge or formal promise made to secure against loss, damage, or default; a guarantee or security. One who has contracted to be responsible for another, especially one who assumes responsibilities or debts in the event of default.

2 BODY POLITIC, government, corporations. 1. When applied to the government this phrase signifies the state. 2. As to the persons who compose the body politic, they take collectively the name, of people, or nation; and individually they are citizens, when considered in relation to their political rights, and subjects as being submitted to the laws of the state. (*note part 3*) 3. When it refers to corporations, the term body politic means that the members of such corporations shall be considered as an artificial person. *Bouvier's Law Dictionary, 1856*

All three of these things are encompassed in the term straw man.

Because the ones that are professing the so-called “Straw Man” premise never gave the proper remedy, only a principle in relation to the problem (which is 14th Amendment citizenship) and bogus remedies that went along to purportedly fix the “Straw Man” problem, this should be considered a crafty deception. In essence these agents established people to have their “Eyes Wide Shut”. Largely, the so-called “Straw Man” premise is simply the status of being a Fourteenth Amendment citizen. Furthermore, and because the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) was established for commercial interactions between entities (as defined in said Code), attempting to “squash” this so-called Straw Man by using said law form is a frivolous endeavor. It is strongly advised that these procedures not be followed when attempting to secure rights.³

Because it is generally frivolous in regard to government, many have landed in jail for using it. Mind you—not for using the UCC—but because using it in a wrong and/or frivolous manner. Securing your property and dealing with other matters is properly done by using contract, statutory and other law forms.

We ask that you please excuse the pun that is to follow, but using the *so-called* Straw Man premise will only make you look like a dummy.

To learn the lawful way not to be personally subject to certain laws and attached to legal matters pursuant to fictions of law, you may want to investigate nationality and status correction. The matters surrounding status will be the proper application of law that will be fully recognized by the government and legal profession.

~~~~~

Authored by the People’s Awareness Coalition

POB 313 – Kieler – Wisconsin [www.pacinlaw.org](http://www.pacinlaw.org)

PAC may be reached via email at: [info@pacinlaw.org](mailto:info@pacinlaw.org)

---

3 However, understand that the current system of government is based on socialism (communism). This is fundamentally where the government deals in commercial activities with the people. The Coalition is striving to totally eliminate this “servitude” or activity. Such notwithstanding, do not construe this to mean that using the Uniform Commercial Code practices are proper for one that has not corrected his or her national status.